

Classification: Geophysics

NAG 5-818  
IN-65-CR  
111654  
P.10

# ISOTROPIC PROBABILITY MEASURES IN INFINITE DIMENSIONAL SPACES

(Inverse Problems/Prior Information/Stochastic Inversion)

George Backus

Institute of Geophysics & Planetary Physics, A-025

University of California, San Diego

La Jolla, CA 92093

(NASA-CR-181555) ISOTROPIC PROBABILITY  
MEASURES IN INFINITE DIMENSIONAL SPACES:  
INVERSE PROBLEMS/PRIOR  
INFORMATION/STOCHASTIC INVERSION  
(California Univ.) 10 p Avail: NTIS HC

N88-13936

Unclas  
G3/65 0111654

September 4, 1987

**Abstract.** Every isotropic probability measure on the space  $R^\infty$  of real sequences  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  is a convex combination of the measure concentrated at  $\mathbf{0}$  and a member of  $I_0(R^\infty)$ , the set of all isotropic probability measures  $p_\infty$  on  $R^\infty$  with  $p_\infty(\{\mathbf{0}\}) = 0$ . Each  $p_\infty \in I_0(R^\infty)$  is completely determined by any one of its finite-dimensional marginal distributions  $p_n$ . Each  $p_n$  has a density function  $f_n$  with  $dp_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = dx_1 \cdots dx_n f_n(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)$ . Each  $f_n$  is completely monotone in  $0 < \xi < \infty$  (hence analytic in the right complex  $\xi$  half-plane), and

$$\pi^{n/2} \Gamma(n/2)^{-1} \int_0^\infty d\xi \xi^{n/2-1} f_n(\xi) = 1.$$

Every  $f$  which satisfies these two conditions is  $f_n$  for a unique  $p_\infty \in I_0(R^\infty)$ . Hence the equation

$$\pi \int_\xi^\infty d\zeta f_2(\zeta) = \int_0^\infty d\mu(t) e^{-t\xi}$$

defines a bijection between  $I_0(R^\infty)$  and the set of all probability measures  $\mu$  on  $0 \leq t < \infty$ . If

$p_\infty \in I_0(R^\infty)$  then  $p_\infty(\{\mathbf{x} : \sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i^2 < \infty\}) = 0$ , so  $p_\infty$  is not a "softened" or "fuzzy" version of the ine-

quality  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i^2 \leq 1$ . If the prior information in a linear inverse problem consists of this inequality

and nothing else, stochastic inversion and Bayesian inference are both unsuitable inversion techniques.

**Introduction.** Let  $R$  be the real numbers,  $R^n$  the linear space of all real  $n$ -tuples, and  $R^\infty$  the linear space of all infinite real sequences  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ . Let  $P_n : R^\infty \rightarrow R^n$  be the projection operator with  $P_n(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Let  $p_\infty$  be a probability measure on the smallest  $\sigma$ -ring of subsets of  $R^\infty$  which includes all of the cylinder sets  $P_n^{-1}(B_n)$ , where  $B_n$  is an arbitrary Borel subset of  $R^n$ . Let  $p_n$  be the marginal distribution of  $p_\infty$  on  $R^n$ , so  $p_n(B_n) = p_\infty(P_n^{-1}(B_n))$  for each  $B_n$ . A measure on  $R^n$  is "isotropic" if it is invariant under all orthogonal transformations of  $R^n$ . The measure  $p_\infty$  will be called isotropic if all its marginal distributions  $p_n$  are isotropic. The set of all isotropic probability distributions on  $R^\infty$  will be written  $I(R^\infty)$ . The present note describes all members of  $I(R^\infty)$ . The result calls into question both stochastic inversion and Bayesian inference, as currently used in many geophysical inverse problems.

**Necessary Conditions for Isotropy.** Let  $0 = (0, 0, \dots)$  and let  $p_\infty^0$  be the member of  $I(R^\infty)$  such that  $p_\infty^0(\{0\}) = 1$ . If  $p_\infty \in I(R^\infty)$  and  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  and  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ , then  $\alpha p_\infty + \beta p_\infty^0 \in I(R^\infty)$ . Conversely, if  $p_\infty \in I(R^\infty)$  and  $p_\infty(\{0\}) = \beta$ , then  $p_\infty = (1 - \beta)\tilde{p}_\infty + \beta p_\infty^0$  where  $\tilde{p}_\infty \in I(R^\infty)$  and  $\tilde{p}_\infty(\{0\}) = 0$ . Therefore it is necessary to study only those  $p_\infty \in I(R^\infty)$  for which  $p_\infty(\{0\}) = 0$ . They constitute the subset  $I_0(R^\infty)$  of  $I(R^\infty)$ .

If  $p_\infty \in I_0(R^\infty)$ , for every  $\xi$  in  $0 \leq \xi < \infty$  define

$$F_n(\xi) = p_\infty(\{\mathbf{x} : x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 > \xi\}). \quad [1]$$

Then  $F_n$  is right semi-continuous, and

$$F_n(0) = 1 \quad [2a]$$

$$F_n(\infty) = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} F_n(\xi) = 0. \quad [2b]$$

Also, if  $n \leq N$  and  $\alpha \leq A$ , then

$$0 \leq F_n(A) \leq F_n(\alpha) \leq F_N(\alpha) \leq 1. \quad [2c]$$

Properties sufficient to characterize the members of  $I_0(R^\infty)$  are given in

*Theorem 1:* Suppose  $p_\infty \in I_0(R^\infty)$  and  $F_n$  given by [1]. Then for each integer  $n \geq 1$ ,  $F_n(\xi)$  is analytic in the open right half plane of complex  $\xi$ . There is a function  $f_n(\xi)$ , also analytic there, such that for every Borel subset  $B_n$  of  $R^n$

$$p_n(B_n) = \int_{B_n} dx_1 \cdots dx_n f_n(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2). \quad [3a]$$

In particular, if  $0 \leq \alpha < \infty$  then

$$F_n(\alpha) = \pi^{n/2} \Gamma(n/2)^{-1} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} d\xi \xi^{n/2-1} f_n(\xi). \quad [3b]$$

The  $f_n$  are related by

$$f_n(\xi) = \int_{\xi}^{\infty} d\eta (\eta - \xi)^{-1/2} f_{n+1}(\eta) \quad [3c]$$

$$f_{n+1}(\xi) = -\pi^{-1} \partial_{\xi} \int_{\xi}^{\infty} d\eta (\eta - \xi)^{-1/2} f_n(\eta) \quad [3d]$$

$$f_n(\xi) = \pi \int_{\xi}^{\infty} d\eta f_{n+2}(\eta) \quad [3e]$$

$$f_{n+2}(\xi) = -\pi^{-1} \partial_{\xi} f_n(\xi) \quad [3f]$$

For every  $\beta$  in  $0 \leq \beta < \infty$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(\beta) = 1. \quad [3g]$$

*PROOF:* Let  $S(n-1)$  denote the unit sphere in  $R^n$ , and let  $|S(n-1)|$  be its  $(n-1)$ -dimensional Euclidean content,  $2\pi^{n/2} \Gamma(n/2)^{-1}$ . Let  $|S(n-1)| \phi_n(w)$  be the content of the part of  $S(n-1)$  where  $x_n^2 \leq 1-w$ . Then

$$\phi_{n+1}(w) = 1 - |S(n-1)| |S(n)|^{-1} \int_0^w d\zeta \zeta^{n/2} (1-\zeta)^{-1/2}.$$

Since  $p_n$  is the marginal distribution on  $R^n$  of  $p_{n+1}$  on  $R^{n+1}$ ,

$$F_n(\xi) = - \int_{\xi}^{\infty} dF_{n+1}(\eta) \phi_{n+1}(\xi/\eta), \quad [4a]$$

the right side being a Stieltjes integral. For any  $\beta$  and  $B$  satisfying  $\xi < \beta < B$ ,  $\partial_{\eta} \phi_{n+1}(\xi/\eta)$  is continuous in  $\beta \leq \eta \leq B$ , so integration by parts (1) permits the conclusion

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\beta}^B dF_{n+1}(\eta) \phi_{n+1}(\xi/\eta) + \int_{\beta}^B d\eta F_{n+1}(\eta) \partial_{\eta} \phi_{n+1}(\xi/\eta) \\ & = F_{n+1}(B) \phi_{n+1}(\xi/B) - F_{n+1}(\beta) \phi_{n+1}(\xi/\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Here let  $\beta \rightarrow \xi +$  and  $B \rightarrow \infty$ . The integrated parts tend to zero, so the Lebesgue bounded convergence theorem permits [4a] to be rewritten

$$\xi^{-n/2} F_n(\xi) = |S(n-1)| |S(n)|^{-1} \int_{\xi}^{\infty} d\eta \eta^{-(n+1)/2} F_{n+1}(\eta) (\eta - \xi)^{-1/2}.$$

Iterating this formula once, reversing orders of integration, and invoking the identity

$$\int_{\xi}^{\xi} d\eta (\xi - \eta)^{-1/2} (\eta - \xi)^{-1/2} = \pi$$

leads to

$$\xi^{-n/2} F_n(\xi) = (n/2) \int_{\xi}^{\infty} d\zeta \zeta^{-(n+2)/2} F_{n+2}(\zeta). \quad [4b]$$

By induction on  $n$ , it follows that  $F_n(\xi)$  is infinitely differentiable in  $0 < \xi < \infty$ . If we define

$$f_n(\xi) = -\pi^{-n/2} \Gamma(n/2) \xi^{1-n/2} \partial_{\xi} F_n(\xi), \quad [5a]$$

then  $f_n$  is also infinitely differentiable in  $0 < \xi < \infty$  and [2b] yields [3b]. Then [3a] follows by straightforward integration theory. Then the definition of marginal distributions implies

$$f_n(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{n+1} f_{n+1}(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{n+1}^2), \quad [5b]$$

which is [3c] with  $\xi = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$ ,  $\eta = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{n+1}^2$ . Also,

$$f_n(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{n+1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{n+2} f_{n+2}(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{n+2}^2), \quad [5c]$$

which is [3e]. Then [3f] follows from [3e], and [3d] follows from [3f] and [3c] with  $n$  replaced by  $n-1$ . To prove analyticity, note that if  $q$  is an integer  $\geq 0$  and if  $0 < \alpha < \beta$ , then by Taylor's theorem with remainder

$$F_2(\alpha) - F_2(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{(\beta - \alpha)^i}{i!} (-\partial_{\xi})^i F_2(\beta) + \frac{1}{q!} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\xi (\xi - \alpha)^q (-\partial_{\xi})^{q+1} F_2(\xi). \quad [6a]$$

But  $(-\partial_\xi)^i F_2 = \pi^i f_{2i}$ , so by [3b]

$$\frac{1}{q!} \int_\alpha^\beta d\xi \xi^q (-\partial_\xi)^{q+1} F_2(\xi) = F_{2q+2}(\alpha) - F_{2q+2}(\beta). \quad [6b]$$

Hence, the Lebesgue bounded convergence theorem implies that as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  the integral in [6a] converges to  $1 - F_{2q+2}(\beta)$ . Therefore

$$F_{2q+2}(\beta) - F_2(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{\beta^i}{i!} (-\partial_\xi)^i F_2(\beta). \quad [6c]$$

All terms in the sum [6c] are nonnegative, and  $F_{2q+2}(\beta) \leq 1$ , so the series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\beta)^i}{i!} F_2^{(i)}(\beta) \quad [6d]$$

converges absolutely (here  $F_2^{(i)} = \partial_\xi^i F_2$ ). Therefore, the power series for  $F_2(\xi)$  at  $\xi = \beta$  converges absolutely for all complex  $\xi$  in the closed disk  $|\xi - \beta| \leq \beta$ . Since  $\beta$  is arbitrary,  $F_2(\xi)$  is analytic for all complex  $\xi$  with positive real part. By [5a], so is  $f_2(\xi)$  and then by [3c,d] so is  $f_n(\xi)$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . Hence so is  $F_n(\xi)$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . Furthermore, since [6d] converges, Abel's theorem (2) implies that

$$F_2(0) - F_2(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^i}{i!} (-\partial_\xi)^i F_2(\beta). \quad [6e]$$

Together, [6e], [6c] and [2a] imply [3g].

**COROLLARY 1:** If one of the marginal distributions  $p_n$  is known,  $p_\infty$  is completely determined.

**COROLLARY 2:** Let  $H(\alpha)$  be the set of  $x$  in  $R^\infty$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^2 < \alpha$ . Then  $p_\infty(H(\infty)) = 0$ . This follows immediately from [3g] and the fact that  $H(\infty)$  is the monotone limit of the sets  $H(\alpha)$  (3).

**Sufficient Conditions for Isotropy.** Let  $M(n)$  be the set of infinitely differentiable real-valued functions  $f$  on the open half-line  $0 < \xi < \infty$  such that

$$\pi^{n/2} \Gamma(n/2)^{-1} \int_0^\infty d\xi \xi^{n/2-1} f(\xi) = 1 \quad [7a]$$

and also for every integer  $q \geq 0$  and every  $\xi$  in  $0 < \xi < \infty$

$$(-\partial_\xi)^q f(\xi) \geq 0. \quad [7b]$$

Note that if  $p_\infty \in I_0(R^\infty)$  and  $f_n$  comes from  $p_\infty$  via [3a] then  $f_n \in M(n)$ . The converse is also true, and to prove it we need

**LEMMA 1:** Suppose  $n \geq 1$  and  $f \in M(n)$ . Then

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \xi^{n/2} f(\xi) = 0 \quad [8a]$$

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \xi^{n/2} f(\xi) = 0 \quad [8b]$$

$$f(\xi) = \int_{\xi}^{\infty} d\eta [-\partial_\eta f(\eta)] \quad [8c]$$

$$(n/2) \int_0^{\infty} d\xi \xi^{n/2-1} f(\xi) = \int_0^{\infty} d\xi \xi^{n/2} [-\partial_\xi f(\xi)] \quad [8d]$$

$$-\pi^{-1} \partial_\xi f \in M(n+2). \quad [8e]$$

**PROOF:** Let  $m = n/2 - 1$  and let  $0 < \alpha < A < \infty$ . Integration by parts gives

$$(m+1) \int_{\alpha}^A d\xi \xi^m f(\xi) = A^{m+1} f(A) - \alpha^{m+1} f(\alpha) + \int_{\alpha}^A d\xi \xi^{m+1} [-\partial_\xi f(\xi)]. \quad [9a]$$

Fix  $\alpha$ . The integral on the right in [9a] increases as  $A \rightarrow \infty$  and yet is bounded, so it has a limit.

Therefore  $\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} A^{m+1} f(A)$  exists. By [7a] it cannot be positive, so we have [8a], and hence [8c],

and also

$$(m+1) \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} d\xi \xi^m f(\xi) = -\alpha^{m+1} f(\alpha) + \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} d\xi \xi^{m+1} [-\partial_\xi f(\xi)]. \quad [9b]$$

As  $\alpha$  decreases to 0, the integral on the right in [9b] increases, and that on the left has a finite limit, so  $\alpha^{m+1} f(\alpha)$  approaches either  $+\infty$  or a nonnegative limit. Then [7a] requires [8b], and [9b] converges to [8d]. Then [8e] follows from [8d] and [7b].

Now we can prove

**THEOREM 2:** Suppose  $n$  is a nonnegative integer and  $f \in M(n)$ . Then there is a  $p_\infty \in I_0(R^\infty)$  whose marginal distribution  $p_n$  on  $R^n$  is given by [3a] with  $f_n = f$ .

*PROOF:* For every integer  $q \geq 0$ , define  $f_{n+2q}(\xi) = \pi^{-q} (-\partial_\xi)^q f(\xi)$ . If  $N-n$  is a nonnegative even integer, induction on [8c] implies

$$f_N(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_N^2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{N+1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{N+2} f_{N+2}(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{N+2}^2). \quad [10a]$$

If  $N-n$  is a nonnegative odd integer, define  $f_N$  from  $f_{N+1}$  via [3c]. Then

$$f_N(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_N^2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{N+1} f_{N+1}(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{N+1}^2). \quad [10b]$$

That [10b] also holds when  $N-n$  is nonnegative and even follows from [10a]. Therefore [10b] holds for all  $N \geq n$ . Use it inductively to define  $f_N$  for  $1 \leq N < n$ . For  $N = n$ , [7a] implies

$$\int_{R^n} dx_1 \cdots dx_N f_N(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_N^2) = 1, \quad [10c]$$

and then [10b] implies [10c] for all  $N \geq 1$ . Thus the probability distributions  $p_N$  on  $R^N$  given by  $f_N$  via [3a] satisfy the Kolmogorov consistency condition. Then the existence of  $p_\infty$  follows from Kolmogorov's Fundamental Theorem (4).

*COROLLARY 1:* If  $f \in M(n)$ ,  $f(\xi)$  is analytic in the open right half-plane of complex  $\xi$ .

*COROLLARY 2:* The equation  $F_2(\xi) = \int_0^\infty d\mu(t) e^{-\xi t}$  furnishes a bijection between the members of  $I_0(R^\infty)$  and the probability measures  $\mu$  on  $0 \leq t < \infty$ .

*PROOF:* Demanding that  $f_2 \in M(2)$  is equivalent to demanding that  $F_2(\xi)$  be completely monotonic on  $0 \leq \xi < \infty$  (5).

**Examples and Applications.** Setting  $f_2(\xi) = \pi^{-1} e^{-\xi}$  gives  $f_n(\xi) = \pi^{-n/2} e^{-\xi}$ . This  $p_\infty$  is the gaussian with independent  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ , each having mean 0 and variance 1. Setting  $f_2(\xi) = \pi^{-1} \nu [\xi^{\nu-1} - (1+\xi)^{\nu-1}]$  with  $0 < \nu < 1$  gives a  $p_\infty$  for which  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} f_n(\xi) = \infty$  if  $n \leq 2$  and also if  $n = 1$  and  $1/2 \leq \nu < 1$ . Thus the densities  $f_n(\xi)$  need not remain finite as  $\xi \rightarrow 0$ .

The geophysical application is to inverse theory. An infinite dimensional linear space  $X$  of earth models  $\mathbf{x}$  is given, along with a finite number of linear functionals,  $g_j : X \rightarrow R$ ,



$j=1, \dots, D+1$ . An observer measures  $D$  data  $y_i = g_i(\mathbf{x}_E) + \varepsilon_i$  for  $i=1, \dots, D$ . Here  $\mathbf{x}_E$  is the correct earth model and  $\varepsilon_i$  is the error in observing  $y_i$ . The observer wants to predict the value of  $z = g_{D+1}(\mathbf{x}_E)$ . Since  $\dim X = \infty$ , the problem is hopeless unless  $g_{D+1}$  is a linear combination of  $g_1, \dots, g_D$ , or unless the observer has some prior information about  $\mathbf{x}_E$  not included among the data (6,7). One common sort of prior information is a quadratic bound on  $\mathbf{x}_E$ , a quadratic form  $Q$  on  $X$  such that  $\mathbf{x}_E$  is known to satisfy

$$Q(\mathbf{x}_E, \mathbf{x}_E) \leq 1. \quad [11]$$

Often [11] is a bound on energy content or dissipation rate (8). In stochastic inversion and Bayesian inference, such a bound is often "softened" to a prior personal probability distribution  $p_\infty$  on  $X$  (8–10). In practice,  $X$  is truncated to an  $R^n$ , and  $p_n$  is used in the inversion.

To see why this process is questionable, complete  $X$  to a Hilbert space with the inner product  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}' = Q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ . Let  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_2, \dots$  be an orthonormal basis for  $X$ , and write  $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \hat{\mathbf{x}}_i$ . Then  $X$  becomes the subset  $H(\infty)$  of  $R^\infty$  defined in corollary 2 to theorem 1. The prior information [11] can now be written

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^2 \leq 1. \quad [12]$$

If the observer wants to soften [12] to a probability distribution  $p_\infty$ , without introducing new information not implied by [12], then clearly he should take  $p_\infty \in I(R^\infty)$ . He is unlikely to assign nonzero probability to 0, so  $p_\infty \in I_0(R^\infty)$ . But then  $p_\infty(X) = 0$  by corollary 2 to theorem 1. Any prior personal probability distribution obtained by softening [12] without adding new information must deny [12] with probability 1.

**Acknowledgments.** The author is grateful for partial support from NSF grant EAR 85-21543 and NASA grant NAG 5-818.

**References**

1. Riesz, F. & Nagy, B. (1955) *Functional Analysis* (Ungar, New York), p. 118.
2. Bieberbach, L. (1945) *Lehrbuch der Funktionentheorie I* (Chelsea, New York), p. 28.
3. Halmos, P. (1950) *Measure Theory* (Van Nostrand, New York), p. 38.
4. Kolmogorov, A. N. (1956) *Foundations of the Theory of Probability* (Chelsea, New York), p. 29.
5. Widder, D. (1946) *The Laplace Transform* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.), pp. 145 & 160.
6. Franklin, J. (1970) *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **31**, 682–716.
7. Backus, G. (1970) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **67**, 282–289.
8. Backus, G. (1987) *Geophys. J. R. Astr. Soc.* **91**, in press.
9. Jackson, D. (1979) *Geophys. J. R. Astr. Soc.* **57**, 137–158.
10. Gubbins, D. (1983) *Geophys. J. R. Astr. Soc.* **73**, 641–652.